

The postulates of Quantum Mechanics

(From Michael A Nielsen) ①

Postulate 1 (Sets up arena)

Associated to any isolated physical system, is a complex vector space with inner products is known as state space of the system. The state space is a subset of Hilbert Space.

The system is completely described by the state vector $|\psi\rangle$ which is a unit vector in system's state space / Hilbert's space.]

Quantum Mechanics doesnot tell the state space & state vector

The condition that $|\psi\rangle$ is a unit vector means

$$\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$$

Postulate 2 (Time evolution)

The evolution of a closed system / isolated system is described by a unitary transformation.]

$$|\psi(t_2)\rangle = U(t_1, t_2) |\psi(t_1)\rangle$$

Quantum Mechanics doesn't tell which ~~operators~~ ^{unitary} operators to use.

This is the discrete-time description of dynamics which depends on only t_1 and t_2

For continuous time description, Hamiltonians are used. Thus the second postulate becomes ②

The time evolution of the state of a quantum system is described by Schrodinger equation.

$$\boxed{i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi\rangle}$$

If we know the hamiltonian, we know the dynamics of the system completely.

Hamiltonian can be time dependent or independent, depending on physical condition. If the conditions are changing with time (eg oscillating electric field) then Hamiltonian is time dependent

Because operator $\hat{H}$ is hermitian, thus its spectral decomposition can be written as

$$\boxed{\hat{H} = \sum_i E_i |E_i\rangle \langle E_i|}$$

$|E_i\rangle$ orthonormal basis
 E_i eigenvalue w.r.t basis

$|E_i\rangle$ are energy eigen vectors and E_i is their eigen value
variation of $|E_i\rangle$ w.r.t time is given by

$$\boxed{|E_i(t)\rangle = e^{\frac{-iE_i t}{\hbar}} |E_i(t=0)\rangle}$$

From schrodinger's equation and unitary transformation equation, one can verify that

$$U(t_1, t_2) \equiv e^{-iH(t_2-t_1)/\hbar}$$

(expand $e^{-i\epsilon}$)

Postulate 3 (Measurement)

③

Quantum measurements are described by a collection $\{M_m\}$ of measurement operators. These operators act on the state space of the system being measured. ' m ' indicates the total number of measurement outcomes that may occur in the experiment.

If the state vector of a system was $|\psi\rangle$ before measurement then the probability that result ' m ' occurs is

$$p(m) = \langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle$$

And the state $|\psi\rangle$ after measurement changes to

$$|Q\rangle = \frac{M_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle}}$$

There is certain restriction over measurement operators

$$\sum_i M_i^\dagger M_i = I \quad (\text{completeness equation})$$

Completeness equation ensures that $\sum_i p(i) = 1$

Consider $\{M_m\}$ as a set of operators (measurement of course)

thus according to the postulate $M_m : H \longrightarrow H$ (H is Hilbert space of system)

$$M_m = |\alpha_j\rangle \langle \beta_k|$$

where $|\alpha_j\rangle, |\beta_k\rangle$ belong to vector space of system

For example

consider system of one qubit.

$$|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$$

Let us define measurement operator as $M_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$, $M_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$

thus

$$p(0) = \langle \psi | M^\dagger M | \psi \rangle$$
$$= |a|^2$$

and after the measurement

$$|0\rangle = \frac{a}{|a|} |0\rangle$$

Distinguishing Quantum States

Consider two persons Alice and Bob. Let Alice produce two orthonormal states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$. The job of Bob is to find or rather distinguish $|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle$.

Let Alice send two states to Bob $|\psi_a\rangle$ and $|\psi_b\rangle$. Only Alice knows the true identity.

Bob makes two Measurement operators $M_1 = |\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1|$

and $M_2 = |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|$ such that $M_1 + M_2 = I$ (completeness)

Bob then operates M_1 on $|\psi_a\rangle$ and if he gets the result 1 then $|\psi_a\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle$ [$p(1) = \langle\psi_a|M_1|\psi_a\rangle = 1$] with certainty

$p(1) = \langle\psi_a M_1^\dagger M_1 \psi_a\rangle$	$p(2) = \langle\psi_a M_2^\dagger M_2 \psi_a\rangle$
--	--

if $p(1)$ is zero then $p(2) = 1$ and vice versa. Thus states can be distinguished.

Demonstration of how non orthogonal states are non distinguishable.

①

Let's consider the qubits. These base states of qubits are $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. $\langle 0|1\rangle = 0$

Case I (States are

Define a set of Measurement operators $M_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$ and $M_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$. $M_0 + M_1 = I$ and M_0, M_1 are Hermitian

The operation of operators on base states is tabulated
operation observed value (say)

i) M_0 on $|0\rangle$

a

ii) M_0 on $|1\rangle$

no result

iii) M_1 on $|0\rangle$

no result

iv) M_1 on $|1\rangle$

b

Case II

Let the states be orthogonal. $|\psi_1\rangle = |0\rangle$ $|\psi_2\rangle = |1\rangle$

We have two sub cases

a) $M_0 |\psi_1\rangle = |0\rangle$

result a Probability $\langle 0|M_0^\dagger M_0|0\rangle = 1$

$M_0 |\psi_2\rangle = \times$

no result

○ (This case will not happen)

$M_1 |\psi_2\rangle = |1\rangle$

b

$\langle 1|M_1^\dagger M_1|1\rangle = 1$

Thus the Measurement operators $\{M_0, M_1\}$ when applied on the arbitrary state $|\psi_i\rangle$ give definite result.

Thus by seeing the table we can determine which state it was by seeing the value (a or b).

Another sub case could have been

②

<u>operation</u>	<u>probability</u>	<u>result</u>
b) operation		
$M_0 \psi_1\rangle$	0	no result

∴ another subcase is ~~not~~ possible just draw it

Case II

(non orthogonal states)

$$|\psi_1\rangle = |0\rangle \quad |\psi_2\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad |\psi_2\rangle = \frac{a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle}{(a^2 + b^2)}$$

again lets consider a sub case

<u>operation</u>	<u>probability</u>	<u>result</u>
a) $M_0 \psi_1\rangle$	$\langle 0 M_0^\dagger M_0 0 \rangle = 1$	a
i) $M_0 \psi_2\rangle$	$\langle \psi_2 M_0^\dagger M_0 \psi_2 \rangle = 1/2$	a
ii) $M_1 \psi_2\rangle$	$\langle \psi_2 M_1^\dagger M_1 \psi_2 \rangle = 1/2$	b

or he could have taken ~~first~~

<u>operation</u>	<u>probability</u>	<u>result</u>
b) $M_0 \psi_2\rangle$	1/2	a
$M_1 \psi_2\rangle$		
$M_0 \psi_1\rangle$	$\langle 0 M_0^\dagger M_0 0 \rangle = 1$	a
$M_1 \psi_1\rangle$	$\langle 0 M_1^\dagger M_1 0 \rangle = 0$	no result
$M_0 \psi_2\rangle$	$\langle \psi_2 M_0^\dagger M_0 \psi_2 \rangle = 1/2$	a
$M_1 \psi_2\rangle$	$\langle \psi_2 M_1^\dagger M_1 \psi_2 \rangle = 1/2$	b

But if $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ are not orthogonal, then $p(1), p(2)$ won't be non zero simultaneously. ⑤

Theorem: Non orthogonal states cant be distinguished.

Proof: Let $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ be non orthogonal states.

Let's, then, assume that they are distinguishable.

The measurement operator $M_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_1| + |\psi_2\rangle\langle\psi_2|)$

Completeness equation $\sum_i M_i^\dagger M_i = I$ is satisfied

Define $E_i = \sum_{j: f(j)=i} M_j^\dagger M_j$

($f(j)$ is a rule where j is the result corresponding to j th measurement)

Such that $\sum_i E_i = I$

thus $\langle\psi_1|E_1|\psi_1\rangle = \langle\psi_2|E_2|\psi_2\rangle = 1$

also $\langle\psi_1|E_2|\psi_1\rangle = \langle\psi_2|E_1|\psi_2\rangle = 0$

i.e. $\sqrt{E_2}|\psi_1\rangle = 0$

Let $|\psi_1\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle$

$|\psi_2\rangle = \alpha|\psi_1\rangle + \beta|\psi_n\rangle$

($|\psi_n\rangle$ is normal to $|\psi_1\rangle$)

$\therefore \sqrt{E_2}|\psi_2\rangle = \beta\sqrt{E_2}|\psi_n\rangle$

($|\beta|^2 \leq 1$)

$\langle\psi_2|E_2|\psi_2\rangle = |\beta|^2 \langle\psi_n|E_2|\psi_n\rangle \leq |\beta|^2 < 1$

$\langle\psi_n|E_2|\psi_n\rangle \leq \sum_i \langle\psi_n|E_i|\psi_n\rangle = 1$

Special case of postulate 3 "Projective measurements" ⑤

A projective measurement is described by an observable M , which is hermitian operator on a state space of the system being observed. The spectral decomposition of observable M is

$$M = \sum_m m P_m$$

where P_m is a projector onto the eigen space of M with eigen value m . The ~~total number of~~ possible outcomes of the measurements corresponds to eigen value m .

On measuring the state $|\psi\rangle$ by m operator leads to

$$|Q\rangle = \frac{P_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{p(m)}}$$

$$p_m = \langle \psi | P_m^\dagger P_m | \psi \rangle \\ = \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle$$

Note: Here observable has been defined. All observables are measurement operators but all measurement operators are not observables

If M_m of postulate 3 is converted into orthogonal projectors then we will be having this situation

Expectation value is a statistical concept defined by

$$E(M) = \sum_m m p(m) \\ = \sum_m m \langle \psi | P_m | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | M | \psi \rangle \\ = \langle M \rangle$$

The generally used conventions for Postulate 3

10)

rather Projective Measurements:

a) Rather than giving an observable (Hermitian operator) simply a complete set of orthogonal projectors satisfying completeness equation $\sum_m P_m = I$ and $P_m P_{m'} = \delta_{mm'} P_m$ is listed. It simply means $M = \sum_m m P_m$

b) Phrase "to measure in basis $|m\rangle$ " means that the projectors P is onto ~~orthonormal basis $|m\rangle$~~ the subspace of orthonormal basis $|m\rangle$. ~~Orthonormal basis~~ means $P_m = |m\rangle\langle m|$

Since M is a diagonal matrix with eigen vectors $|m\rangle$ which are forming the basis of subspace projected by P_m one can also say that the eigen vectors of Hermitian operator form basis

Example

$$\hat{V}_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{or } \hat{V}_z = |0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1|$$

Thus the measurement of Z (observable) on state $|\psi\rangle = \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ will give state $|0\rangle$ with $1/2$ and state $|1\rangle$ with $1/2$ probability

More generally if $\vec{V}$ is any real three dimensional unit vector then an observable $\hat{V}$ is described by

$$\vec{V} \cdot \vec{V} = V_x \hat{V}_x + V_y \hat{V}_y + V_z \hat{V}_z$$

$$\vec{B} \cdot \vec{V} = B_x \hat{V}_x + B_y \hat{V}_y + B_z \hat{V}_z$$

(see Feynman lectures notes)

(Also known as measurement of spin along $\vec{V}$ axis)